| 1 | I take it, that Section 3 is intended in any way as a |
|----|---|
| 2 | binding interpretation of Section 2.06, either to enlarge |
| 3 | or restrict the power of the General Assembly. |
| 4 | DELEGATE PENNIMAN: We do not consider it to be |
| 5 | a constitutional definition. It is what you might |
| 6 | consider to be a legislative definition and only an interim |
| 7 | measure. |
| 8 | THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Grant. |
| 9 | DELEGATE GRANT: I only point this out that the |
| 10 | old qualification section / "infamous crime "instead of |
| 11 | " serious crime and this is why I believe the dichotomy |
| 12 | exists right now. |
| 13 | THE PRESIDENT: Any questions to Section 2? |
| 14 | Delegate Gill. |
| 15 | DELEGATE GILL: In the schedule of legislation |
| 16 | there is just a typographical error. |
| 17 | THE PRESIDENT: Let us hold it until we come |
| 18 | to that. |
| 19 | This is Article 2. Any other questions? |
| 20 | The Chair hears none. |
| 21 | Proceed to Article 3. |